



GREG BELL Lieutenant Governor

## State of Utah

#### DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER

Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

OGM Joe Helfrich

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Representativ	es Present During the Inspection:
Company	Kirk Nicholes
Company	Larry Johnson

# **Inspection Report**

Permit Number:	C0250005
Inspection Type:	TECHNICAL
Inspection Date:	Tuesday, May 01, 2012
Start Date/Time:	05/01/2012 9:00:00 AM
End Date/Time:	05/01/2012 3:30:00 PM
Last Inspection:	Thursday, April 26, 2012

Inspector: Joe Helfrich, Weather: 60's overcast windy InspectionID Report Number: 3094

> Accepted by: jhelfric 05/15/2012

Permitee: ALTON COAL DEVELOPMENT LLC Operator: ALTON COAL DEVELOPMENT LLC

Site: COAL HOLLOW

Address: 463 North 100 West, Suite 1, CEDAR CITY UT 84720

County: KANE

Permit Type: PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM

Permit Status: ACTIVE

O...... A ....

Current Acreages	Mineral Ownership	Types of Operations		
635.64 Total Permitted	✓ Federal	Underground		
435.00 Total Disturbed	State	✓ Surface		
Phase I	County	Loadout		
Phase II	<b>✓</b> Fee	Processing		
Phase III	Other	Reprocessing		

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

The purpose of this site visit was to visit the 885 acre parcel of the Heaton property that has been the subject of habitat improvement since 2008 and determine an appropriate acreage credit for Alton Coal Development. Joe Helfrich met with Larry Johnson, Kirk Nicholes, (ACD), Dustin Schaible, (DWR) and Kevin Heaton, (Landowner of the property being evaluated), at the Alton church parking lot. Larry Johnson did not participate in the field tour.

Inspector's Signature:

Joe Helfrich.

Date



OIL, GAS & MINING

Inspector ID Number: 1

Permit Number: C0250005 Inspection Type: TECHNICAL

Inspection Date: Tuesday, May 01, 2012

Page 2 of 3

### REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
  - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
  - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
- 2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
- 3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performace standard listed below.
- 4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

		Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1.	Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale				
2.	Signs and Markers				
3.	Topsoil				
4.a	Hydrologic Balance: Diversions				
4.b	Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments				
4.c	Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures				
4.d	Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring				
4.e	Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations				
5.	Explosives				
6.	Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches				
7.	Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments				
8.	Noncoal Waste				
9.	Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<b>V</b>		<b>✓</b>	
10.	Slides and Other Damage				
11.	Contemporaneous Reclamation				
12.	Backfilling And Grading				
13.	Revegetation				
14.	Subsidence Control				
15.	Cessation of Operations				
16.8	a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing				
16.	Roads: Drainage Controls				
17.	Other Transportation Facilities				
18.	Support Facilities, Utility Installations				
19.	AVS Check				
20.	Air Quality Permit				
21.	Bonding and Insurance				
22.	Other				

Permit Number: C0250005 Inspection Type: TECHNICAL

Inspection Date: Tuesday, May 01, 2012

Page 3 of 3

### 9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues

The first stop was on a ridge on the north west side of the parcel overlooking the treatment area. Kevin Heaton indicated that his father Karl had begun the treatment in 2008 using a chemical called Spike that can be used progressively to kill or knock back sage brish depending on the application and a bulldozer to clear oak brush and other shrubs and trees. The open and sage brush areas were then seeded. Karl Heaton continued treating this 885 acre parcel through 2011. According to Kevin, his father Karl treated this area to improve the habitat for Mule deer and livestock. According to Rhett Boswell and Dustin Schaible, DWR provided the seed. ACD provided some technical assistance from Dr. Steven Petersen regarding the grass and forb species in the seed mix and areas to be cleared to improve the habitat for Sage grouse and the use of a range land drill to Karl Heaton. Karl was compensated for the habitat restoration work by the Natural Resource Conservation Service, (NRCS), personal communication with Nile Sorensen, NRCS Farm Bill Biologist. The second stop was on a ridge overlooking the mine to the west where Dustin Schaible suggested that Pinyon and Juniper trees in areas to the west north and south of the mine could be removed. There were also some areas on private land, (Heatons and possibly Burton Pugh), to the east of the mine along Robinson creek that were visited. They consisted of a mix of PJ and pockets of sage interspersed with PJ and open areas mixed with sage and rabbit brush. DOGM will consult with DWR in determining an appropriate amount of acreage that the mine could be credited for. It was suggested to Mr. Nicholes that the areas around the mine discussed on the field tour be included as possible habitat restoration areas and should be included in the revision to appendix 3-5.